

**GREBEN & ASSOCIATES**

1332 ANACAPA, SUITE 110  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101  
TELEPHONE: (805) 963-9090  
FACSIMILE: (805) 963-9098

Jan A. Greben, State Bar No. 103464  
jan@grebenlaw.com  
Jenna L. Motola, State Bar No. 246738  
jenna@grebenlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant RICHARD C. PETERS  
and RAMONA W. PETERS, individually and as Trustee  
of the PETERS FAMILY TRUST.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SACRAMENTO DIVISION

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC  
SUBSTANCES CONTROL,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

PAYLESS CLEANERS, COLLEGE  
CLEANERS, HEIDINGER CLEANERS,  
NORGE VILLAGE CLEANERS, CAVA,  
INC., a California corporation, LOBDELL  
CLEANERS, CITY OF CHICO, NORVILLE  
R. WEISS, JANET L. WEISS, PAUL  
HEIDINGER, INEZ N. HEIDINGER, 5TH  
AND IVY, a General Partnership, RICHARD  
C. PETERS and RAMONA W. PETERS,  
individually and as trustees of the Peters  
Family Trust, BETTY M. ROLLAG,  
RANDALL ROLLAG, and TAMI ROLLAG,

Defendants

RICHARD AND RAMONA PETERS,  
Individually and as co-trustees of the Peters  
Family Trust,

Third Party Plaintiffs

vs.

NORGE DIVISION OF BORG-WARNER  
CORPORATION, et al.

Third Party Defendants.

Case No. CIVS-02-2389 LKK DAD

*ASSIGNED TO  
HON. LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
COURTROOM 4*

COMPLAINT FILED: 10/31/02  
TRIAL DATE: 07/22/08

**ORDER APPOINTING  
GUARDIAN AD LITEM**

1 On September \_\_\_, 2007, Richard and Ramona Peters, individually and as co-trustees of  
2 the Peters Family Trust, moved this court for the appointment of a guardian ad litem for Richard  
3 C. Peters. The court has read the papers submitted, reviewed the authorities cited, and  
4 considered the argument of counsel.

5 It appears to the Court that Richard C. Peters is an incompetent person and as such is  
6 incapable of representing himself in this action, and that no guardian or representative has been  
7 appointed to act on his behalf .


8 It further appears that Ramona W. Peters is a person fully competent to understand and  
9 protect the rights of Richard C. Peters, and she has no interest adverse to that of Richard C.  
10 Peters, is not connected in business with any adverse party or attorney or counsel of any adverse  
11 party, and has consented to act as the guardian ad litem for Richard C. Peters in this action.

12  
13 Therefore,

14  
15 IT IS ORDERED that:

- 16  
17 1. The motion is GRANTED;  
18  
19 2. Ramona W. Peters is appointed guardian ad litem of Richard C. Peters in this action.  
20

21 DATED: October 2, 2007.  
22

23   
24 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
25 SENIOR JUDGE  
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
27  
28